



Copenhagen Treaty December 2009

*UN Agenda Draft of World
Government
Taking and Controlling
Australia Your PM Will Sign If
Not ... **STOPPED***

*Dycrypted by SOS-NEWS Writer Brumbyy (with 2 y's) from
181 pages into 25 pages you need to read*

www.sosnews.org



www.sosnews.org



Rudds Day of Reckoning

PM Kevin Rudd's Reign Wilts under Withering Belief



Firstly, yes, I have read the 181 page Frame work document from page one (1) to page one hundred and eighty one (181) and I would doubt whether there are very few citizens that have been able to do so, word for word. It took 3 days reading alone to read in a manner that allowed me to attain at least a modicum of understanding of the corruptness, complicity and agenda

that this document, now named the “Treaty Manifesto.” ... To download this document follow the link below

<http://sosnews.org/pdf/copenhagen.pdf>

This document is a draft document and all who read it have to be very mindful of this fact, but, the very fact that the composers of this manifesto for global economic control, clearly by their wording, flag their desires, and the fact that they may need to negotiate a different outcome in the ensuing debates to get signatures to paper and hence Nations to UN subservience, in no way lessens the fact, the original desires will be, for ever and a day there after, the goals and agendas that were and still are the intended framework to be rebuilt onsite at a later day, so to speak..

There can be no doubt that amongst us in global society, there are those who have intentions and well into the implementation of those intentions, to bring upon this globe, a form of Socialist Global Government that is called the World Government of the United Nations. The wording is just a facade, the intent is deadly.

Believe me, or not, [that's a right delivered to you from the freedoms of our threatened democracy](#), but to disregard what we at SOS-NEWS have been saying for some years, as have many others, with finally, albeit slowly, others around this globe, then you do so at yours and your children's, future's peril.

Page No 2

This is not about guns, nor warships at your back door (yet), **this is about economic slavery**, financial slavery by control of each developed sovereign nation's entire fabric, structure and vault.

Incredible laws have been changed within this country Australia by the actions of very recent and current politicians, allowing police unprecedented powers, military to act against Australian citizens at the drop of a pen, laws that allow arrest/imprisonment without charges and laws to prevent those knowing one has been arrested, from telling the public, secret service changes to allow eavesdropping and computer hacking that should have every once-free Australian, terribly, terribly concerned, if they really knew and understood.

This is just the tip of changes in this country that have been legislated into law, not for the purported threats they used to falsely pass such laws, but the future when you, Joe Blow, suddenly wake up and say, "Like Hell, Over my Dead Body" and that is what it will be, over your dead, or incarcerated body. Prisons are fast becoming commodity based businesses.

Below I have listed many of the points of importance, (although no where all encompassing of the threats implied in this Manifesto) from the 181 page Manifesto called the **UNFCCC - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change**.

I have not added the actual wording of every clause, but have noted the parent clause number for those that wish to see for themselves. At the very least this will afford those the have the dedication to Australia's well being the opportunity to see for themselves should they wish.

For those that wish to read the Manifesto for themselves you will need to be able to decipher their acronyms. To help you do this, I have taken the not insubstantial effort to provide an alphabetical listing of these at the end of this story. They were either embedded in the Manifesto once, or for about 10 of them, I had to search the United Nations to identify their meaning.

I repeat; this is a draft manifesto, but the strongest of the options here can be taken in no other terms than it is unequivocally their only intention, to achieve those high goals, negotiations are just so they get a wedge in the door that can never be removed.

Throughout this document, is the use of the latin term, "**Inter-Alia**" this means "**amongst other things**". In other words, as you the reader attempt to wade through this Treaty Manifesto Rudd has never read, and attempt to make sense of it, they intentionally leave much unknown by this term, therefore it is almost impossible to decipher this Manifesto with any certainty.

As one reads each clause and its sub-clause, the term modality/ies (**methods/methodologies**) is used often. Identifying that the methods to be utilised in many areas has yet to be considered, decided and argued and this is not to happen until after the December signing Rudd wishes to commit us to, there again, is no certainty at all, **that what Rudd would have this Nation to sign up to, is in fact a legality of unknown consequences**.

Australia is a developed country for the sake of this Treaty manifesto and again for those that wish to read this Manifesto for themselves, [I have included a list of the Developed Countries and the Developing Countries at the end of this story](#). Whilst you are looking at this list, you may wish to use the Internet to count the population billions that the developed are supposed to support in the developing. [The CIA book of facts is a simple resource that will quickly give you an idea](#).

For the sake of this Treaty Manifesto, although they do actually mention other gases, **this Treaty is 99.9% about their idea of the green house gas (GHG) Carbon Dioxide**, and as such for this story, CO₂, will be used to identify GHGs when necessary.

Just to wet the whistle;

Developed country's targets are; by at least 40 per cent below 1990 levels by 2020 and by at least 95 per cent by 2050. **Why were the Greens fighting Rudd?**

It is clear that there is a desire for parties to sign up to the COP (the new UN Government given breath by the signing of the Manifesto in Copenhagen) prior to there actually being any real methodology (modalities) formed. Are they joking? P 103, 104 #201

P6 #PP14 Current atmospheric concentrations are principally the result of historical emissions of greenhouse gases, the most significant share of which, has originated in developed countries.

Page 13 No developed nation will have control of their sovereign borders trade wise from developing countries when there is a sovereign need, without the new UN Government's permission.

Page 18 #38 The Treaty Manifesto tells us all directly, there will be three levels of UN power once the treaty is signed, **GOVERNMENT**, Facillative Mechanism and Financial Mechanism.

P18 # 38 (a) **The government will be RULED** by the COP (Conference of the Parties) with the support of a new subsidiary body on adaptation, and of an Executive Board responsible for the management of the new funds and the related facilitative processes and bodies. The current Convention secretariat will operate as such, as appropriate.

Page 22 #11 **By signing the Treaty, Rudd will be saying Australia and its Citizens accept unequivocally the findings of the IPCC (The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) that declares CO₂ is the driving force for climate change and hence Australians believe, reduction of CO₂ will mitigate global climate change. There is no basis for this statement of acceptance without a referendum.**

P26 # 12 By Rudd signing to the Treaty Manifesto; take from a sovereign government hence its people, the right to decide for themselves how they will respond to what is termed, **"affected POPULATIONS"**, not refugees, who cross borders because of the effects of climate change. This is such a broad statement to be enshrined in a legal

document, it delivers the future immigration makeup of a sovereign, developed country, into the hands of the new UN Government.

P 29 # N especially Q & R q) **The precautionary principle** is perhaps the most dangerous clause ever to be signed into some sort of quasi international law. It provides an response/defence to almost any issue, as long as the IPCC (The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) is the new UN Government's unequivocally accepted lone science source. Between this IPCC and this principle, no matter what argument is put forward, the end result will always be for the new UN Government's Ideology.

[Adhere to] the precautionary principle [, agreed upon in Principle 15 of the Rio Declaration. **“Any lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason to postpone or scale down action on adaptation”**”.

P 30 # V. How to add a clause that tells us all its all legally binding, but in fact tells us little in respect to this legality. This just opens a door for lawyers after the signing, to draw up laws that will just appear after the fact and there after, hold force. Bit like the push to a Republic here in Australia. It is all about giving those the power that want it, to rewrite our Constitution. This whole Treaty Manifesto debacle is a wake up call for those that wish Australia to become a Republic.

P30 # R **The polluter pays policy**, is the essence of this new UN Government's collection processes and the Treaty Manifesto continuously brands the developing countries as the polluters, both today and frighteningly, historically. This historical factor acyually brings into being an historical emissions debt.

P38 # 27 A sovereign nation is made up of sovereign citizens and that citizenry is made up of every level of socio-economic standing. This new UN Government has a clause that denies the right of a Sovereign Nation to consider that the private sector of its citizenry, when acting privately in a manner that meets this Treaty's proviso/s, cannot be considered as part of that Nation's obligations, but rather just as a supplement to it.

In other words this Treaty Manifesto is about sucking the wealth from the population of Developed Nations, collectively, not about funding their false presentation of the real problems, hence mitigating, in any way possible.

P 39# 33 In 10 years, if there is any developed nation's industry left, **a figure of 140 billion dollars annually out of the developed countries pockets**, to start this UN Government's wish list is in this manifesto. This is over and above all the other aid that all nations give.

This next clause is straight from the Treaty Manifesto and it is to be noticed that .7% of GDP is mentioned, **but the highest percentage they actually use in this document is an astounding 2% of GNP** and for an Australian) one Trillion economy, to me that is a 2 billion dollar tax impost all on its own for the new UN Government to distribute as it sees fit. **The corruption windowy yet to be opened, are as many as there are glass windows in the High Rise buildings of New York City.**

P 42 # E41. I leave this clause and its sub-clauses, for the reader to consider for themselves, both the complexity of the Manifesto as a document and the actual intent of the clauses themselves.

**[Providing financial support shall be additional to developed countries' ODA (Official Development Assistance ((targets.))
[Mandatory contributions from developed country Parties and other developed Parties included in Annex II should form the core revenue stream for meeting the cost of adaptation in conjunction with additional sources including share of proceeds from flexible mechanisms.] [This finance should come from the payment of the adaptation debt by developed country Parties and be based principally on public-sector funding, while other alternative sources could be considered.] [[Sources of new and additional financial support for adaptation] [Financial resources of the "Convention Adaptation Fund"] [may] [shall] include:**

- (a) [Assessed contributions [of at least 0.7% of the annual GDP of developed country Parties] [from developed country Parties and other developed Parties included in Annex II to the Convention] [taking into account historical contribution to concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere];]
- (b) [Auctioning of assigned amounts and/or emission allowances [from developed country Parties];]
- (c) [Levies on CO2 emissions [from Annex-I Parties [in a position to do so]]];]
- (d) [Taxes on carbon-intensive products and services from Annex I Parties;]
- (e) [[Levies on] [Shares of proceeds from measures to limit or reduce emissions from] international [aviation] and maritime transport;]
- (f) Shares of proceeds on the clean development mechanism (CDM), [extension of shares of proceeds to] joint implementation and emissions trading;
- (g) [Levies on international transactions [among Annex I Parties];]
- (h) [Fines for non-compliance [of Annex I Parties and] with commitments of Annex I Parties and Parties with commitments inscribed in Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol (Annex B Parties);]
- (i) [[Additional ODA] [ODA additional to ODA targets] provided through bilateral,

regional and other multilateral channels (in accordance with Article 11.5 of the Convention).]]

P58 #5 . Given their historical responsibility and development level and based on the principle of equality, developed country Parties shall have deeper cuts in their GHG emissions so as to ensure adequate spaces for developing countries to achieve their goals of substantive development and eradication of poverty.

7. Mitigation commitments by developed countries are distinct from mitigation actions by developing countries in the following way:

(a) Mitigation commitments by all developed countries are legally binding economy wide and absolute quantified emission reduction commitments;
(b) Mitigation actions by developing countries are voluntary and nationally appropriate actions, supported and enabled by technology, finance and capacity-building, which reduce or avoid emissions relative to baseline.

P 59 # 14 Here the Treaty Manifesto clearly has the new UN Government only talking about CO2, whilst they dishonestly use the term Green House Gases. Parties recognize that in this context greenhouse gas emissions must be stabilized as far as possible below 350 ppmv CO2 eq, with temperature increases limited to as far as possible below 1.5 °C above pre-industrial levels; hence global emissions must peak by 2015, and then be reduced by more than 85 per cent below 1990 levels by 2050.

P 59 # 16 clearly identifies that the Treaty Manifesto of the new UN Government (COP) unequivocally believes that CO2 is the only GHG that is the **anthropogenic (relating to humans)** climate warmer. To stabilize the concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate, the Parties recognize that the global temperature increase should be limited to 2 °C above the pre-industrial level.

P 60 # 20 Here the Treaty Manifesto says one thing, but means another and actually covers all that other throughout this manifesto and where they are not prepared to identify the detail devil, by using the term, Inter Alia (amongst other things).
The objective of [this Agreement] [the Parties] is to achieve an environmentally sound response to climate change through effective implementation of the Convention, with a view to achieving its ultimate objective, as provided in its Article 2, by stabilizing atmospheric greenhouse gases at 450 ppm CO2 eq or lower, through unified long-term action that sets the world on a path to peak global emissions by [X] and then reduce global greenhouse gas emissions by X per cent by [X] on [X] levels.

P65 # 4 a,b,c especially (a) is disconcerting. What is, Australia's second commitment to Kyoto, do you know? **This is the clause that identifies the reason for the rush Rudd made to Ratify the Kyoto Protocol. Forget his political promises, this Judas had other motives altogether and they were very self-centred.**

His actions by that signing, enables the binding of Australia to those commitment levels under this treaty manifesto, which in turn binds those levels to a new set of International Laws that the original signature never bound this nation to.

Further, that Kyoto signature by virtue of that agreement's 2012 expiry date, was a signature binding this Nation to a very finite life and a short one at that.

Once the treaty is signed, Rudd's signature will be signing Australia up to an indefinite UN Governmental "Rule". There is no cancelling clause in this Treaty Manifesto, it is an indefinite International Treaty that indefinitely holds open the door to Global Socialist Economic Control and that's only the start.

P68 # 12 Here we see Sovereign land use governance to be forevermore passed to the new UN Government for control and if nothing else, this alone is a reason for never signing an indefinite, nor a long term treaty of Sovereign selling, yetNthis is exactly What Rudd and Wong are doing. Selling off Australia for future new UN Government Positioning rewards.

Definitions, modalities(methods), rules and guidelines for the treatment of land use, land use change and forestry under the Kyoto Protocol shall apply to all [developed country Parties].

P 69 # 14 This clause is frightening, for amongst this Treaty Manifesto is discussion about the necessity for the reaching of these collective figures and should those legally binding, but Rudds' and other like Judas's signatures be traitorously applied to this Treaty, then so to is the capacity for all regional developed countries be subject to a proportional fine should this collective CO2 collective area mitigation figure not be achieved.

Annex I Parties collectively, whether or not Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, must reduce their emissions by at least 45 per cent below 1990 levels by 2020 and by more than 95 per cent below their 1990 levels by 2050.

Now the fines touted for those developed countries that breach their treaty obligations, is stated herein this **Manifesto as 10 times the market price of a tonne of CO2.**

So if we as a nation meet our impossibly onerous CO2 mitigation obligations imposed by the new UN Government, we as a State of this new UN Government may/can/will still attract a massive financial fine as a regional player if we take up a local regional agreement, where other such players of that agreement default.

P75 #46 If Parties acting jointly do so in the framework of, and together with, a regional economic integration organization which is itself a Party to this Protocol, each member State of that regional economic integration organization individually, and together with the regional economic integration organization, shall, in the event of failure to achieve the total combined level of emission reductions, be responsible for its level of emissions as notified in accordance with subparagraph (ii) above.

P69 # 17 There 4 alternatives it so draconian and in here they now start using the term, "anthropogenic carbon dioxide" as opposed to CO2. Here again is the type of

wording used throughout this 181 page Manifesto, make of this what you will on your own.

[All [developed country Parties] [shall][should] [individually or jointly, ensure that their aggregate anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions of the GHGs listed in (x) do not exceed][take leadership to] adopt [legally binding] [measurable, reportable and verifiable] [[nationally appropriate] mitigation commitments or actions] [expressed as] [including] [economy-wide] quantified emission limitation and reduction [objectives3] [for [up, to and beyond 2012] the period from [1990][2013] [XXXX] until [2017] [2020] [XXXX],]] [as inscribed in Annex X] [of at least 40per cent relative to 1990, by 2020] while ensuring comparability of efforts among them,[based on their historical responsibility,] [[taking into account] [national circumstances for Parties “with economies that are highly dependent on income generated from the production, processing and export and/or consumption of fossil fuels” as specified in Article 4.8 (h)] [differences in their national circumstances]]. [These commitments or actions shall be inscribed in [Annex ...] [[Appendix ...][Schedule ...][...]]] [with a view to collectively reducing their GHG emissions in the order of 30 per cent from 1990 levels by 2020]

P73 #36 Just in case you thought the 10 times fine was a beat up by us, here it is in Treaty Manifesto words. I know it is a draft, but this Manifesto is a wide-open window into the minds of the new UN Governments founding Comrade's plans, either achieved now, or at a date not that far in the future.

[Option 3

[lead to the application of penalties for non-compliance, including [increased future reduction commitments by an amount calculated as a multiple of the shortfall in implementation [and] [as well as] financial contributions as penalties [10 times to the market price of one tonne of carbon and] [or] fines [and] paid into [an enhanced] [the Convention] financial mechanism][monetary penalties to be paid to the Adaptation Fund][a mechanism that establishes clear and direct consequences for noncompliance].]]]

P75 # 47 & 48 Goes back to 1990 and takes into account tree change land use predominately, no identified consideration of any other reductions achieved, as in farming practices, then #48 goes to other land use practices, but limits mitigation efforts accepted only as far back as 1990, yet this Treaty Manifesto takes the historical emissions of developed nations back to the beginning of their industrialization for purposes of assessing a developed parties historical emissions.

What this clearly *shows that the bastards are as dishonest* as it gets and the moment we have lawyers drawing up Government's Constitutions we see just what a blight on society they really are.

It is o/k to assess a Nation's CO2 Emission's Bank (**Yes Bank Account**) as accrued over decades, or even centuries past, but any action that might mitigate their false science accounting, can only be assessed from 1990 onwards by this Treaty Manifesto.

This is astounding, they are claiming in essence that all historic emissions are to be assessed and paid for, retrospectively. They are not just content in having developed countries pay as they go once they get this new UN Government up and running, but they are going to take the wealth of past, force Developed nations to regurgitate this wealth and spread it across the Developing world. There can be no clearer depiction of staunch Socialism as this.

P 78 #4 The wealth transfer intentions of this treaty

4. and take the necessary steps to ensure the implementation of, Article 4.7 of the Convention, which stipulates that “the extent to which developing country Parties will effectively implement their commitments under the Convention will depend on the effective implementation by developed country Parties of their commitments under the Convention related to financial resources and transfer of technology and will take fully into account that economic and social development and poverty eradication are the first and overriding priorities of the developing country Parties” (enhanced support and enabling means result in enhanced actions of developing country Parties and not the other way 'round).

P 79 # 21 Wow21. While the developed countries assign legal right to their Sovereign wealth over to the new UN Government by virtue of signing the Treaty Manifesto, the Developing countries are free to decide their own actions. Sorry wasn't there an issue about climate change induced by anthropogenic emissions here somewhere? NAMAs (Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action). by developing countries are voluntary and contingent on financial and technical support by developed countries.

P 80 # 27 Clearly shows this treaty is about more than emission controls and pointedly identifies global management as the real agenda, with all power to the new UN Government..

[Mitigation actions by developing countries constitute a meaningful contribution to enhanced mitigation. Such actions are voluntary, nationally appropriate, supported and enabled by developed countries through the provision of technology, finance and capacity-building. NAMAs(Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action). , which may include projects and programmes, shall be formulated through a country-driven process, and in accordance with the principles of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities.

The aim of these actions is to reduce or avoid emissions, taking into full account the legitimate priority needs of developing countries for the achievement of sustained economic growth and the eradication of poverty.]

So who determines the state of economic growth that constitutes the bench mark? The new UN Government with the powers delivered to it by the likes of Rudd's attempt to play his part of Australia's "Judas".

P 83 # 50 The very fact that **Nuclear Power has been removed** under this Treaty manifesto, as a CO2 emission mitigation method (or modality in their lingo) is enough to relegate all else in respect to this new UN Government as lies, lies and more lies and all parties party to these lies, non-persona-grata and to be termed traitors to their respective sovereign Nations. **This statement identifies just how disingenuous these pricks are** and hence just how dangerous.

[Nationally appropriate mitigation actions shall not include technologies that have adverse impacts on the environment, including, inter alia, nuclear power and large-scale hydro-electric power.] Sorry Anna Bligh, this is why Garrett denied your Traveston dam and you conceded to his will with no fight.

P 84 # 59 Developing Countries have full cost paid for their NAMAs (Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action). Yes, developed countries pay every dollar and pass on all technology.

P 87 # 77 Just when you thought that transparency at the UN Government level was a given, they subtly slip in a clause that allows a back door, Nugan Hand bank style, funding.

>A separate pool of funding to finance national coordinating bodies through a direct line item in the secretariat's budget shall be established. **Such support shall not be subject to measurement, reporting and verification.**

P 94 # 123 The following clause is to ensure that the new UN Government is the only arbiter of aid under its treaty and furthermore, all such funding and technology transfers done through that Government's accounting.

[Support by developed countries that can be registered comprise only support that is delivered through a technology and financing mechanism under the Convention.]

P96 # 138. Here is a clause, that says, no matter how much money is thrown at it by a Developed country, **if the Developing Country recipient deems the amount insufficient, they are not obliged to act until they receive enough and hence, deem it is.**

[Developing country Parties shall decide when the level of support from [both] sources mentioned in paragraph 136 above is sufficient for the implementation of a proposed NAMA (Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action).(They retain the right to delay implementation of the proposed NAMA if they consider the level of support insufficient.)

P 102 # 190 Here we see that there is clear intention to indoctrinate and govern the globe with only the science emanating from the IPCC and their subsidiary minions.

methodologies for estimating anthropogenic emissions (human emissions) by sources and removals by sinks of all GHGs (CO2) not controlled by the Montreal Protocol, shall be those accepted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties at its third session.

Where such methodologies are not used, appropriate adjustments shall be applied according to methodologies agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to this Protocol at its first session. Based on the work of,

inter alia, (amongst other things) the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and advice provided by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to this Protocol shall regularly review and, as appropriate, revise such methodologies and adjustments, taking fully into account any relevant decisions by the Conference of the Parties. Any revision to methodologies or adjustments shall be used only for the purposes of ascertaining compliance with commitments in respect of any commitment period adopted subsequent to that revision.

191. The global warming potentials used to calculate the carbon dioxide equivalence of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of (CO₂) GHGs listed in Annex A shall be those accepted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and decided upon by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to this Protocol prior to the start of the commitment period. Based on the work of, inter alia, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and advice provided by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to this Protocol shall regularly review and, as appropriate, revise the global warming potential of each such GHG, taking fully into account any relevant decisions by the Conference of the Parties.

P103 #192 -194 These clauses very mildly tell you that Sovereign nations will report what and when, at the new UN Government's request, to this new UN Government, to support both its commitments to Developing Countries and the verification of its own mitigation processes.

P110 # 113 & sub-clauses there following;

Here we see already that before even the Treaty Manifesto is signed, *there are other special funds, all to be amassed from extra funding from the Developed Nations.*

Once the new UN Government is installed to Office, there is clearly going to be no end to the vacuuming of the disposable funds of the Developing Nations until everyone is on the bread line and dependant on UN State Centre Link charity.

It is also clear here, not only is there provision for fines to be issued to delinquent Developed Nations, they are well aware that the emissions that Developed countries and regions will sign their Sovereignty away to are impossible to meet and hence the billions in fines they know will be levied and collected are already allocated to a fund.

The establishment of a [Readiness fund][Special REDD-plus fund] (REDD plus - 3 In this document, actions under paragraph 1 (b) (iii) of the Bali Action Plan (issues related to policy approaches and positive incentives on issues relating to reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries; and the role of conservation, sustainable management of forests and enhancement of forest carbon stocks in developing countries) are referred to collectively as "REDD-plus). [a new and additional fund]. The funds shall come from contributions from [developed country Parties], [market-linked revenues], [innovative funding sources including auctioning of national emissions trading allowances or of assigned amount units at international level, and penalties or fines for non-compliance of developed

country FCCC/AWGLCA/2009/INF.2

Page 111

Parties with their emission reduction and financial resources commitments]. These funds shall be [new and additional to ODA(Official Development Assistance (targets)], [complementary to GEF, and bilateral and multilateral funding].

P 119 # 7 Developed Nations not only have to supply some 160 Billion dollars a year to the developing Nations, but under this Treaty, the new UN Government will control a Sovereign Developed Nation's trade and trade border's, movements.

[Developed country Parties shall not resort to any form of unilateral measures including countervailing (opposing, serving to contradict; counteracting, serving to offset) border measures, against goods and services imported from developing countries on grounds of protection and stabilization of the climate. Such measures would violate the principles and provisions of the Convention, including, in particular, those related to the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (Article 3, paragraph 1), to trade and climate change (Article 3, paragraph)

P121 #13 Now the new UN Government dictates to Sovereign Nations, that **they must now enact laws upon their people.**

[As a means of ensuring that mitigation action, including, inter alia,(amongst other things) the use of biofuels, does not contribute to deforestation and forest degradation in developing country Parties, all Parties shall initiate forest law enforcement, governance and trade measures

P122 # 17 The following clause are just so large in scope and magnitude, that it is impossible for the average person such as we each are, to contemplate just how big a financial and technological tax this UN Government is proposing via this Treaty Manifesto.

These clauses get to the nub of the Socialism experiment this new UN Government is attempting to instigate at the 1st World's expense.

[[Developed [and developing] countries] [Developed and developing country Parties]

[All

Parties] [shall] [should]:]

(a) Compensate for damage to the LDCs'(least Developed Countries) economy and also compensate for lost opportunities, resources, lives, land and dignity, as many will become environmental refugees;

(b) Africa, in the context of environmental justice, should be equitably compensated for

environmental, social and economic losses arising from the implementation of response measures.

P 127 Alternative 3, B Climate debt account # C Cop owns and rules the finances

Fulfil the implementation of the commitments contained in Articles 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8 and

4.9 in the context of Article 4.7 of the Convention and be underpinned by the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities. Developed country Parties shall provide financial resources and transfer technology to developing country Parties to make full and effective repayment of climate debt, including adaptation debt, taking responsibility for their historical cumulative emissions and current high per capita emissions.

P133 # 15 This clause and sub-clauses identify just how the new UN Government comes to a figure for the invoice they will submit to each developed nation. Take very careful note of the last words here in alternative 1, “and developing countries’ right to development.” This wording has much, much more behind it than a naturally heating earth cycle these bastards are manipulating for financial and socialist purposes

The [generation][provision] of financial resources shall be guided by the principles of the Convention, in particular the principles of common but differentiated responsibilities, respective capabilities, on the basis of equity, and shall take into account the polluter pays principle, historical responsibilities for emissions and developing countries’ right to development.

P133 # 16 When a Treaty Manifesto like this is compiled, it is to see just what they can get away with; well now we see just what it is they are after from your Nation’s wallet. Note very carefully the historical climate debt, for this is the padlock to chain around each Developed nation’s neck.

Further Note, ***that up to 2% of GNP/GDP is touted. This figure for Australia alone is Billions.***

New and additional financial resources [shall][should] be generated through a combination of various sources, including, inter alia:(amongst other things)

Alternative 1:

An assessed contribution from developed country Parties based on the principles of equity, common but differentiated responsibilities, respective capabilities, GDP, GDP per capita, the polluter pays principle historical responsibility of Annex I Parties, historical climate debt, including adaptation debt, amounting to [[0.5-1][0.8][2] per cent of gross national product] at least [0.5-1 per cent of GDP]].

P 134 Option 3 is all about taxes. Only a government can apply taxes. Sorry we in Australia will just be a satellite State of the new UN Government. Revenue raising methods are everywhere and intentionally convoluted in this Treaty Manifesto, as it is apparent no one is really supposed to read this from the public and if they do, they are not supposed to understand it.

Option 3

Alternative 1:

A uniform global levy of USD 2 per tonne of CO2 for all countries with per capita emissions higher than [1.5][2.0] tonnes of CO2; the LDCs (Least developed Countries) shall be exempt.

Alternative 2:

Taxes on carbon-intensive products and services from Annex I Parties.5

P137 # 21-25 How interesting, we now have a board as the head of “Government” with a “Secretariat” instead of a public service. We really, have a Socialist, dictatorial corporate entity controlling the disposable wealth of the Developed Nations.

21. The COP, (Conference of the Parties, the new UN Government) as the supreme body of the Convention, shall exercise its authority over and provide guidance to the financial mechanism, and shall decide on its operation and on the policies, programme priorities and eligibility criteria for financing purposes.

22. The COP shall appoint a board,⁹ which shall function under the authority and guidance of and be accountable to the COP

24. The Board shall be assisted by a dedicated secretariat of professional staff contracted by the Board.

25. The COP and the Board shall establish, manage and supervise current funds, specialized funds and funding windows to fund projects, programmes and actions aimed at mitigation, adaptation and technology transfer that are under its governance, and a mechanism to link various funds.

P139 # 31 **Fund management by trusted firms. In light of the recent financial meltdown, where do we find the financial organisation that will manage the historic levels of funds that this global theft system will amass. Mars?**

Funds will be administered by a Trustee or Trustees that have been selected through a process of open competitive bidding among reputable pre-qualified institutions.

P139 # 32 identifies the makeup of their internal bankers.

P 140 # 38 And again, another pool of unaccountable Nugan Hand style funds made available for the Secretariat

The administrative costs of national coordinating bodies established for this purpose shall be

covered by international financial resources made available specifically for this purpose. **A separate pool of funding to finance national coordinating bodies** through an item in the secretariat’s budget shall be established.

P141 # 51 **We now have representatives of the government, elected by the Government and a very clear picture of what this new UN Government considers Democracy and thereby, can be assured as a citizen of the New World Order, that Transparency and Efficient Governance will be delivered from abroad.**

The technical panels shall comprise government representatives elected by the COP (The Conference of the Parties. The new UN Government Supreme Body) with balanced regional representation, who are experts on matters related to each of the technical panels, and be open to input from other experts in order to ensure transparent and efficient governance.

P 142 #52 g We now have COP (the new UN Government) not satisfied with the hundreds of billions of dollars it is stealing, they are going to guarantee loans for

developing Nations that our Tax Dollars will go surety for. This clearly infers that by going guarantor for such loans, COP (the new UN Government) is now flagging the potential utilization of, beyond immense, sums, outside their transparent accounting. A back door modality (method) of once again insuring bank business with public tax dollars)

To coordinate with regional development banks concessional loan arrangements for the private sector in developing countries for the implementation of specific mitigation activities.

P145 # 76. Just to ensure that you readers do not believe, or think the 10 times factor in fine imposition is a figment of our imagination.

A compliance mechanism shall be established to ensure the full implementation of the commitments of Annex I, [Annex II] [and Annex B Parties], for commitments on Articles 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8 and 4.9 of the Convention with reference to their agreed assessed contributions for the provision of financial resources, This body shall: (d) Impose financial penalties, at a minimum of ten times the market price of carbon, for any emissions in excess of the level implied by the emissions reduction commitment.

P 158 # 34 a **Now all you business folk and entrepreneurs, may well think carefully about what you will invest your corporate wealth and time in, with this amazing clause.**

I will leave this entire clause in here for those that will be most astounded and concerned and will also undoubtedly want to read this immediately.

[Option 2 Specific and urgent measures [shall] [should] be [instituted in [a] relevant forum[s]][established] [and mechanisms developed] to remove barriers to development and transfer of technologies from [developed][the developed Parties that have commitments under the Convention and the other developed Parties in a position to transfer environmentally sound technologies] to developing country Parties arising from the intellectual property rights (IPR) protection, [including][in particular]:

(a) [All necessary steps shall be immediately taken in all relevant fora (forums:- plural of forum)to [mandatorily exclude from patenting] [revoke all existing patents on essential/urgent] [implement compulsory licensing for] [specific] [climate-friendly] [environmentally safe and sound] technologies [in developing countries] [held by Annex II Parties which can be used to adapt to or mitigate climate change][, including those developed through funding by governments or international agencies];]

(b) [[Pooling and sharing publicly funded technologies and making the technologies available in the public domain at an affordable price] [[Creation of a “Global Technology Pool for Climate Change”] [that promotes] [sharing] [of] [and ensures access to] technologies that can be used to adapt to or mitigate climate change and associated know-how [and trade secrets] to developing countries [including on nonexclusive royalty-free terms] [at an affordable price] [and on terms and

conditions that promotes access for developing countries] in order to provide better information service and reduce transaction costs;]]

(c) [Use to the full flexibilities contained in the Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) including Compulsory licensing to access intellectual property protected technologies, taking into account the example set by decisions in other relevant international forums relating to IPRs, such as the Doha Declaration on the TRIPs Agreement and Public Health;]

(d) [Parties agree that nothing in any international agreement on intellectual property shall be interpreted or implemented in a manner that limits or prevents any Party from taking any measures to address adaptation or mitigation of climate change, in particular the development and transfer of, and access to technologies;]

(e) Adoption of a Declaration on IPRs and Environmentally Sound Technologies in relevant fora to, inter alia, reaffirm the flexibilities in the TRIPS Agreement and enhance the enabling environment for implementing these flexibilities.

(f) [Preferential pricing] [Differential pricing between developed and developing countries].

(g) Reviewing all existing relevant IPR regulations in order to provide certain information to remove the barriers and constraints that GHG mitigation technologies are subject to.

(h) Promoting innovative IPR sharing arrangements for joint development of environmentally sound technologies.

(i) Limited/reduced time patents on climate friendly technologies.

Now this next and last sub-clause to the above clause is isolated here for absolute total impact and will be most important to Corporate Monoliths like Monsanto, the company that imparts by its publicly perceived corporate image, no food will be grown that they do not own. Additionally, why is the Australian Judas Rudd, rushing to sign up to this Treaty Manifesto, when in fact this Nation politically, has spent millions of tax dollars arguing and debating the introduction of this very matter?

(j) [Genetic resources, including germplasm of plant and animal species and varieties that are essential for adaptation in agriculture, shall not be patented by multinational or any other corporations.] [Biological resources including microorganisms, plant and animal species and varieties, and parts thereof that are used for adaptation and mitigation of climate change shall not be patented.]

The problem I have with this above, is that I have actually found something within the Treaty Manifesto that I agree with.

P 160 # 37 Now we see the new UN Government dictating to once sovereign entities, tax exemptions be provided to business entities and persons so they can steal patentable inventions and research by delivering it to Developing Nations and being involved in the industries therein that utilize such modernity. Al Capone was jailed for tax evasion, and here we have the greatest scam about to perpetrated onto an entire civilization and that civilization considers itself so intelligent that it will allow its servant Rudd to sign away our sovereignty and hence freedom

37. [The Annex I countries shall institute incentives at the national level notably tax exemption and subsidies for the owner of patented technology with a view to promoting transfer and diffusion of the environmentally sound technologies to the developing countries;]

P 179 Option 2 The final word

Provision of capacity-building support to developing country Parties, along with delivery of financial support and technology transfer, shall be a legally binding obligation of developed country Parties, with consequences for non-compliance.]

Now I know that this is only a draft Treaty Manifesto. I also know that the post League of Nations Socialist movement called the United Nations is in both total disarray and downward spiraling damage control, has now come out with , Oh we now only need an 8 page document. Pleaseeee!!! Your cookie jar has slammed shut.

Well let me tell you, what you read above is what these same Socialist Zealots will return to the Globe's stage with, and present the same mind control games all over again and all covered in a new form of gloss. What you the reader has to say is, that from this day forward the Rudds, Wongs and Garretts of this world, we are going to polish your bulldust canisters until we see exactly what the detail is under the fake gloss.

To get this to stage in analysis of this Manifesto, all the time knowing it is both a draft and bulldust, has taken an immense amount of time and all of it is for no good reason other than to let you the reader get a modicum of a smattering of the incredible deceit and lies the very people you elect and expect to represent you, are prepared to go to line their own lives and pockets; for any other answer can only be that Rudd, Wong and Garrett and all their political hack supporters are absolute unintelligent, gullible, naive, dangerous dickheads.

May coppers live and political incorrectness infest us, for without either, this Globe will lose the fairest and most revered race of multicultural people this world will ever have had the good fortune of God's grace to lead such people to Aboriginal land in which to share. We may not be perfect, but by God, we will remain unified and fair without selling our soul.

[Brumbyy \(With\) 2Ys.](#)

Download the UN Draft to the Copenhagen Treaty below

<http://sosnews.org/pdf/copenhagen.pdf>

Acronyms

UNFCCC - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, started in 1992 New York

AWG LCA - Ad hoc working group on long term action
Seventh Session

AOSIS - Alliance of Small Island States

AC - Adaptation Committee

AFOLU - Agricultural, Forestry and Other Land Uses

BAP - Bali Action Plan

CNS - Carbon Neutral Strategies

CO₂eq - PPM carbon dioxide equivalent

CDM - Clean Development Mechanism

COP - Conference of the Parties

CMP - Conference Meeting of Parties

CSAs - Cooperative Sectoral Approaches and Sector specific Actions

EGTT - Expert Group on Technology Transfer

EBFTA - Executive Body on Finance and Technology for Adaptation

EBFTM - Executive Body on Finance and Technology for Mitigation

EBFTM - Executive Body on Finance and Technology for Mitigation

EBT - Executive Body on Technology

ESTRs - Environmentally Sound Technology Rewards

ESTs - Environmentally Sound Technologies

GHG - Green house Gas/es

IPF - country Indicative Planning Figure

IPRs - Intellectual Property Rights

KP - Kyoto Protocols

LDCs - Least developed Countries

LULUCF - Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry

MCTF - Multilateral Climate technology Fund

MRV - Measuring, Reporting and Verification

NAMA - Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action

NAPAs - National Adaptation Programs of Action

NGOs - Non Government Organisations

ODA - Official Development Assistance (targets)

QELROS - Quantified Emission Limitation and Reduction Objectives

REDD - Reduce Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation

REDD plus - 3 In this document, actions under paragraph 1 (b) (iii) of the Bali Action Plan (issues related to policy approaches and positive incentives on issues relating to reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries; and the role of conservation, sustainable management of forests and enhancement of forest carbon stocks in developing countries) are referred to collectively as “REDD-plus.

SBSTA - Subsidiary Bodies for Development and Transfer of Technologies

SBI - UN Statistics Division

SIDS - Small Island developing States

TAP - Technology Action Plan

TNAs - Technology Needs Assessments

TPRDA - Technical Panels on Research and Development for Adaptation

TPRDM - Technical Panels on Research and Development for Mitigation

TPCBA - Technical Panels on Capacity Building for Adaptation

TPCBM - Technical Panels on Capacity Building for Mitigation

TPTTA - Technical Panels on Transfer of Technologies for Adaptation

TPTTM - Technical Panels on Transfer of Technologies for Mitigation

TPOSIM - Technical Panels Observation Systems and Information Management

TPREDD - Technical Panel on REDD plus

TPMM - Technical Panel on Market Mechanism

TRIPS - Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights

TT Clear - Technology Information Clearing House

WCCF - World Climate Change Fund

Parties

The Convention divides countries into three main groups according to differing commitments:

Annex I Parties include the industrialized countries that were members of the OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) in 1992, plus countries with economies in transition (the EIT Parties), including the Russian Federation, the Baltic States, and several Central and Eastern European States.

Annex II Parties consist of the OECD members of Annex I, but not the EIT Parties. They are required to provide financial resources to enable developing countries to undertake emissions reduction activities under the Convention and to help them adapt to adverse effects of climate change. In addition, they have to “take all practicable steps” to promote the development and transfer of environmentally friendly technologies to EIT Parties and developing countries. Funding provided by Annex II Parties is channelled mostly through the Convention’s financial mechanism.

Non-Annex I Parties are mostly developing countries. Certain groups of developing countries are recognized by the Convention as being especially vulnerable to the adverse impacts of climate change, including countries with low-lying coastal areas and those prone to desertification and drought. Others (such as countries that rely heavily on income from fossil fuel production and commerce) feel more vulnerable to the potential economic impacts of climate change response measures. The Convention emphasizes activities that promise to answer the special needs and concerns of these vulnerable countries, such as investment, insurance and technology transfer.

The 49 Parties classified as least developed countries (LDCs(least developed countries)) by the United Nations are given special consideration under the Convention on account of their limited capacity to respond to climate change and adapt to its adverse effects. Parties are urged to take full account of the special situation of LDCs when considering funding and technology-transfer activities.

Observer organizations

Several categories of observer organizations also attend sessions of the COP and its subsidiary bodies. These include representatives of United Nations secretariat units and bodies, such as UNDP, UNEP and UNCTAD, as well as its specialized agencies and related organizations, such as the GEF and WMO/UNEP Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Observer organizations also include intergovernmental organizations (IGOs), such as the OECD and its International Energy Agency (IEA), along with non-governmental organizations (NGOs).

Over 985 NGOs and 67 IGOs are admitted as observers. The NGOs represent a broad spectrum of interests, and embrace representatives from business and industry, environmental groups, indigenous populations, local governments and municipal authorities, research and academic institutes, parliaments, labour unions, faith groups, women and youth. Constituency groupings have emerged to facilitate interaction.

List of Non-Annex I Parties to the Convention

Non-Annex I
Afghanistan
Albania **
Algeria
Angola
Antigua and Barbuda
Argentina
Armenia **
Azerbaijan
Bahamas
Bahrain
Bangladesh
Barbados
Belize
Benin
Bhutan
Bolivia
Bosnia and Herzegovina
Botswana
Brazil
Brunei Darussalam
Burkina Faso
Burundi
Cambodia
Cameroon
Cape Verde
Central African Republic
Chad
Chile
China
Colombia
Comoros
Congo
Cook Islands
Costa Rica
Cuba
Cyprus
Côte d'Ivoire
Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Democratic Republic of the Congo
Djibouti
Dominica
Dominican Republic
Ecuador
Egypt
El Salvador
Equatorial Guinea
Eritrea
Ethiopia

Fiji
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
Gabon
Gambia
Georgia
Ghana
Grenada
Guatemala
Guinea
Guinea-Bissau
Guyana
Haiti
Honduras
India
Indonesia
Iran (Islamic Republic of)
Israel
Jamaica
Jordan
Kazakhstan **
Kenya
Kiribati
Kuwait
Kyrgyzstan
Lao People's Democratic Republic
Lebanon
Lesotho
Liberia
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya
Madagascar
Malawi
Malaysia
Maldives
Mali
Malta
Marshall Islands
Mauritania
Mauritius
Mexico
Micronesia (Federated States of)
Mongolia
Montenegro
Morocco
Mozambique
Myanmar
Namibia
Nauru
Nepal
Nicaragua

Niger
Nigeria
Niue
Oman
Pakistan
Palau
Panama
Papua New Guinea
Paraguay
Peru
Philippines
Qatar
Republic of Korea
Republic of Moldova **
Rwanda
Saint Kitts and Nevis
Saint Lucia
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Samoa
San Marino
Sao Tome and Principe
Saudi Arabia
Senegal
Serbia
Seychelles
Sierra Leone
Singapore
Solomon Islands
South Africa
Sri Lanka
Sudan
Suriname
Swaziland
Syrian Arab Republic
Tajikistan
Thailand
Timor-Leste
Togo
Tonga
Trinidad and Tobago
Tunisia
Turkmenistan **
Tuvalu
Uganda
United Arab Emirates
United Republic of Tanzania
Uruguay
Uzbekistan **
Vanuatu

Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)
Viet Nam
Yemen
Zambia
Zimbabwe

* Observer State

** Party for which there is a specific COP (Conference of the Parties(new UN Government))and/or CMP (Conference Meetings of the Parties))decision

List of Annex I Parties to the Convention

Annex I They who have to pay the Socialist Pipers

Australia
Austria
Belarus **
Belgium
Bulgaria
Canada
Croatia **
Czech Republic **
Denmark
Estonia
European Community
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Iceland
Ireland
Italy **
Japan
Latvia
Liechtenstein **
Lithuania
Luxembourg
Monaco **
Netherlands
New Zealand
Norway
Poland
Portugal
Romania
Russian Federation **
Slovakia **
Slovenia **
Spain
Sweden
Switzerland

Turkey **
Ukraine **
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
United States of America

* Observer State

** Party for which there is a specific COP and/or CMP decision

Copyright © 2009 SOS-NEWSFRONT